

Fintech – The Next Chapter of Banking

Mirza Khidasheli

Doctor of Business Administration, Professor of Central
University of Europe, Mirza.khidasheli@cue.edu.ge

*Keywords: **Banking; Fintech; Financial Services***

J.E.L. Classification: **E3, E4, E5** DOI: <https://doi.org/10.52244/ep.2025.29.07>

For Citation: Khidasheli M., (2025) Fintech – The Next Chapter of Banking (in English). Economic Profile, Vol. 20, 1(29), p. 77-86. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.52244/ep.2025.29.07>

***Annotation.** The swift development of financial technology, or fintech, has completely changed the financial services industry and created a new financial order where fintech is now a direct rival of traditional banks rather than a supplementary service. Fintech innovations first appeared as value-added services that improved traditional banking operations by utilizing technological advancements like online loans, digital payments, and simplified consumer interfaces. Without significantly changing their main business structures, these early innovations enabled banks to increase efficiency and broaden their service offerings. However, fintech companies started to provide end-to-end financial solutions that questioned traditional banking paradigms as they broadened their product offerings and tapped into cutting-edge technology like blockchain, artificial intelligence, and big data analytics. In addition to upending established income streams by reducing profit margins and market share, this competitive shift has prompted banks to reevaluate and develop their operational strategies. In a time of technological disruption and economic instability, the dynamic interaction between fintech innovations and conventional banking practices emphasizes the necessity of an integrated strategy where cooperation and competition coexist to improve financial inclusion and stability. In the end, the emergence of fintech as a strong rival demonstrates how digital innovation has revolutionized the financial services sector and heralds a change in perspective toward a more decentralized and technologically advanced financial ecosystem.*

Introduction

Banking – Fintech of old ages. The origins of banking are deeply rooted in the need to facilitate the clearing and settlement of monetary transactions, which became increasingly complex with the expansion of trade and commerce. In early economic systems, the limitations of barter and the inefficiencies of physical currency exchange led to the development of institutions capable of recording, verifying, and reconciling payments between parties (Kohn, 1999). Because banks adopted advanced money-holding and money-transfer techniques early on, they can be regarded as the first financial technology (fintech). These early financial organizations, which sprang from ancient moneylenders and merchants, were

innovative in that they allowed for safe wealth storage and sophisticated transactions that went beyond simple barter systems. For example, financial inventions like bills of exchange and promissory notes transformed the long-distance transfer of money in medieval Europe, allowing governments and merchants to operate more profitably and efficiently. In addition to streamlining economic activity, these advancements established the groundwork for contemporary digital financial services, proving that the core tenets of fintech—security, effectiveness, and innovation in financial intermediation—have a long history.

The main part

Medieval Italian city-states such as Venice and Florence saw the emergence of

early banks that acted primarily as clearinghouses, offsetting debits and credits among merchants to reduce the need for physical coin transfers (De Roover, 1948). Banks have historically played a key role in the development of financial technology, acting as early examples of what is now known as "fintech." The mechanisms of trade and banking were drastically altered during the medieval and early modern eras when several banks evolved from basic coin vaults to intricate clearinghouses. The need to reduce the dangers and inefficiencies of the actual transfer of coins—a laborious procedure that not only left riches vulnerable to possible theft but also resulted in high transportation expenses—was a major factor in this change.

The clearinghouse model's invention was important to this development. Merchants that had previously conducted business with actual coins started to switch to a system where banks kept thorough ledgers that documented debits and credits for their customers. Banks would offset these accounts, enabling merchants to pay off their debts through a system of mutual credits rather than exchanging cash. By significantly reducing the number of coins that had to be physically transported, this approach streamlined the transaction process overall and decreased the possibility of theft and loss. Banks increased trading partners' trust and the effectiveness of financial transactions by centralizing and standardizing account reconciliation.

One could consider this early type of financial intermediation to be the FinTech of its time. In the same way that contemporary fintech businesses use digital technologies to streamline asset management, risk assessment, and payment processing, traditional banks used organizational and

technological advancements to get around the restrictions placed on physical currency. These organizations were able to handle enormous networks of financial obligations with amazing accuracy by developing complex accounting systems and using standardized financial instruments like bills of exchange and letters of credit. These advancements established the foundation for modern financial procedures and showed that the fundamental tenets of fintech—innovation, effectiveness, and the ease of complicated transactions—have a long history.

These institutions played a foundational role in enabling trust-based exchanges by creating ledger systems and standardized procedures for transferring value, laying the groundwork for modern payment infrastructures. Over time, these functions expanded beyond simple clearing services to include deposit-taking and credit provision, yet the core infrastructural role of facilitating safe, efficient, and reliable monetary transactions remained central to banking's evolution (Neal, 2000). In this sense, banks began not merely as holders of money but as key nodes in the architecture of monetary circulation.

Additionally, the growth of more dynamic and interconnected economies was made possible by banks' function as clearinghouses. Banks helped to expand trade networks and integrate regional marketplaces by allowing merchants from many regions to conduct transactions without the constant physical transfer of cash. By enabling a quicker resolution of business disputes and a more effective distribution of resources, this in turn promoted economic growth. As banks started to establish procedures for evaluating merchant creditworthiness and handling defaults, the

clearinghouse model also brought about an early type of risk management, laying the groundwork for contemporary banking regulation and supervision.

A turning point in financial history, the conversion of banks into clearinghouses reflects the inventiveness of early technology. Banks improved the effectiveness and security of monetary transactions by replacing actual currency transfers with ledger-based accounting systems. They also established a strong basis for the intricate financial systems we now depend on. This historical viewpoint emphasizes the lasting impact of early financial developments as well as the ongoing interaction between economic growth and technological advancements.

From Complementary to Competitor. BigTech firms are “technology companies with an established presence in the market for digital services. They are firms that have successful digital platforms. In the U.S., they are firms like Amazon, Facebook, and Google; in China, it is firms like Alibaba and Tencent. The Chinese counterparts of the U.S. firms have already made big inroads in financial services markets—but the U.S. firms have not. The challenges for banks posed by the entry of BigTech into finance are quite different from the challenges posed by FinTech firms. The typical FinTech firm is a specialized firm that challenges a specific product line of banks. For instance, a credit FinTech firm aims to wrest market share from banks, typically in a specialized segment of the credit market. BigTech firms, by contrast, have the ability to challenge banks across a large number of product lines; in other words, they can lead a frontal assault as opposed to attacking niches. (Stulz M. René, 2019)

Due in large part to the extensive use

of digital platforms and mobile technology, China's financial ecosystem has seen an unparalleled explosion in FinTech developments. In addition to changing how consumers pay, businesses like Alipay and WeChat Pay have expanded the range of financial transactions. These platforms provide a comprehensive solution that meets the financial needs of both individuals and businesses by offering integrated services that range from wealth management and microloans to digital wallets and online payments (e.g., Emarketer, 2024). The security, effectiveness, and personalization of these services have been further improved by the smooth integration of blockchain technology, artificial intelligence, and big data analytics; this has increased customer trust and accelerated market penetration.

According to recent data, the Chinese fintech market was valued at about USD 4.59 trillion in 2024. By 2030, it is expected to have nearly doubled to USD 9.97 trillion, representing a 13.8% compound annual growth rate (CAGR) (Emarketer, 2024). This strong expansion goes beyond transaction services to include an ecosystem that facilitates digital lending, embedded finance, and alternative credit scoring methods. The growing percentage of transactions handled by FinTech platforms, which are progressively replacing traditional banking services that were previously the sole domain of financial institutions, is indicative of the disruptive influence.

The disparity is further accentuated by the slower pace of regulatory adaptation in many Western jurisdictions. Regulatory frameworks, which in some cases remain rooted in legacy financial practices, often impede the rapid deployment of innovative digital services (McKinsey & Company, 2023). Traditional banks also have to strike a

balance between risk management and innovation, which FinTech companies have done by using customer-driven design and incremental improvements. The inflexibility of traditional banking infrastructures has made them less adaptable to the digital demands of contemporary financial services as consumer preferences continue to favor online and mobile banking options.

In contrast to China's vibrant FinTech scene, traditional banks—especially those in the West—have found it difficult to keep up with changing customer demands and technology breakthroughs. These organizations' slow adoption of new digital technologies has been hampered by legacy systems and ingrained operational paradigms. Even though Western banks still have sizable client bases and market capitalization, they are far less able to offer flexible, user-focused digital transaction services than their FinTech competitors.

The contrasting scenarios in China and the West suggest that the global financial landscape is undergoing a fundamental shift. The success of Chinese FinTech platforms is driven by an ecosystem that supports rapid innovation, consumer adoption, and regulatory evolution. Meanwhile, Western banks, despite their established legacy and market strength, must now consider transformative strategies to compete effectively. These strategies may include significant investments in digital transformation, collaboration with FinTech startups, and the adoption of open banking principles that encourage greater interoperability and customer-centric service models (OECD, 2023).

In response, some traditional banks have attempted to develop their own fintech solutions; however, ventures like HSBC's Zing app have faced challenges in gaining

traction, highlighting the complexities banks encounter when competing with agile fintech firms (Financial Times, 2025). This evolving dynamic underscores the necessity for traditional banks to embrace technological advancements and consider strategic partnerships with fintech companies to navigate the rapidly changing financial ecosystem effectively.

Additionally, Revolut's ambition to become a leading market maker in trading foreign exchange and cryptocurrencies signifies fintechs' encroachment into domains traditionally held by major investment banks (Financial News, 2025).

The financial technology (fintech) sector has evolved from a supportive adjunct to traditional banking into a formidable competitor, reshaping the financial services landscape. Initially, fintech firms complemented banks by offering innovative solutions that enhanced existing services. However, as fintech companies expanded their offerings to include digital payments, lending, and wealth management, they began to encroach upon areas traditionally dominated by banks. This shift has intensified competition, compelling banks to innovate and adapt to retain their market positions. For instance, Revolut's entry into the Irish savings market with competitive interest rates attracted significant customer deposits, challenging incumbent banks despite their established presence (The Times, 2024).

The emergence of FinTech in China signifies a paradigm shift in financial transaction services worldwide as well as a competitive threat to established banking structures. Many traditional banks, particularly in the West, are finding it difficult to update their systems and satisfy changing customer demands as a result of

FinTech companies' significant market share, which they have gained because to their agility and inventiveness. To stay relevant in a global market that is becoming more competitive and driven by technology, Western financial institutions must embrace digital transformation.

The new chapter of Finance. Financial technology (fintech) has significantly transformed the financial services industry by reducing operational costs and enhancing stability, particularly during periods of economic uncertainty. By leveraging advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI), blockchain, and cloud computing, fintech firms have streamlined processes, leading to lower transaction costs and increased efficiency. For instance, the adoption of cloud technology in banking operations has been shown to be cost-effective and flexible, facilitating consumer payments and customer relationship management (Cheng & Qu, 2020).

In the face of increasing political and economic unpredictability on a worldwide scale, financial technology, or FinTech, has become a force for stability and cost reduction as well as a contemporary counterpart to banks' long-standing function as catalysts for financial innovation. FinTech is rethinking the architecture and delivery of financial services in the digital age, much the way traditional banks did during the industrial period by introducing standardized lending, deposit-taking, and branch networks, which transformed commerce and financial intermediation. FinTech companies' capacity to lower transactional and operational costs via digital automation, real-time data processing, and scalable infrastructure is one of their main advantages. FinTech companies function

with leaner cost structures than conventional banks, which are limited by physical branches, antiquated core systems, and regulatory restrictions associated with institutional heritage. This allows more

Moreover, fintech innovations promote financial stability through the channels of AI, cloud technology, and data technology, as evidenced in a study analyzing data from 63 countries (Cheng & Qu, 2020). Additionally, fintech solutions provide cost-effective alternatives to traditional financial services, facilitating scalability and broader service reach, which is essential for extending financial services to underserved markets and fostering economic resilience (Senyo & Osabutey, 2020).

By bringing more resilient and inclusive service models, FinTech also makes a substantial contribution to the stability of the financial system. FinTech provides access to underprivileged people through peer-to-peer lending, mobile platforms, and decentralized finance (DeFi), especially in emerging nations and areas where traditional banking is not as well-established. This increased involvement lessens systemic vulnerabilities that might result from an over-reliance on a small number of powerful institutions and increases the diversity of financial ecosystems. RegTech advances, which simplify compliance and reporting procedures and allow for real-time oversight and speedier reactions to market stress, are another way that FinTech promotes regulatory transparency and stability. FinTech platforms frequently offer other avenues for safe transactions, cross-border payments, and currency hedging—functions that have historically been performed in unstable economic circumstances, such as

those marked by inflationary pressures, capital flow constraints, or geopolitical upheavals.

Importantly, FinTech reflects the revolutionary role that banks used to play by transforming financial services to satisfy the demands of a world that is changing. FinTech is driving the data-driven, networked economy by providing solutions that are suited to the needs of digital customers and flexible enterprises, much how banking breakthroughs from the 19th and 20th centuries made it possible for industrial capitalism to flourish. By doing this, it changes not just how financial services are technically delivered but also how finance is conceptualized, moving away from institutional rigidity and toward technological fluidity, from centralized control to dispersed access, and from static goods to adaptive platforms. Like banking institutions used to be, FinTech is a contemporary pillar of innovation, efficiency, and stability as the global financial landscape struggles with political upheavals, economic shocks, and technological changes.

However, it is important to acknowledge that while fintech offers numerous benefits, it also introduces new risks. For example, the increasing use of AI in financial services raises concerns about market concentration and potential systemic issues if these systems fail (Das, 2024). Therefore, while fintech contributes to cost reduction and enhanced stability, it is crucial for financial institutions and regulators to address these emerging risks to maintain a robust financial ecosystem.

Conclusions

The emergence of FinTech represents a turning point in the financial industry's development, echoing the revolutionary

innovation and upheaval that traditional banks once pioneered. Similar to the banking establishments that revolutionized trade and economic cooperation in the industrial and post-industrial periods, FinTech businesses have become trailblazers in the digital era, changing the way that financial services are obtained, provided, and used. Their creative application of blockchain, cloud computing, and artificial intelligence has made it possible to develop incredibly effective, scalable, and customer-focused systems. These platforms challenge banks' long-standing dominance in key areas, including payments, lending, asset management, and even regulatory compliance in addition to lowering costs and improving access. FinTech companies have started to take on roles that were previously thought to be limited to the banking sector, such as serving as credit intermediaries, payment facilitators, and even deposit alternatives through digital wallets and stablecoins, as financial services become more digital, decentralized, and integrated into everyday platforms.

This development signifies a paradigm shift in the composition and operation of financial intermediation, going beyond merely improving technology. FinTech now provides a more flexible and responsive model that better suits the needs of digitally native consumers, small businesses, and underbanked populations. Historically, traditional banks were the main nodes in the financial ecosystem, mediating capital flows, protecting assets, and promoting economic growth. This change is especially important in a time of unpredictable global economic conditions, when institutional efficiency and flexibility are critical. Although banks still play a crucial role in financial stability and regulation, their monopoly on financial

infrastructure is being challenged by highly specialized, flexible, and data-driven FinTech companies.

Important concerns regarding the financial system's resiliency and future identity are also brought up by this new rivalry. Although FinTech encourages efficiency and inclusivity, it may create new systemic risks if it continues to engulf traditional banking operations, particularly in the absence of comparable regulatory oversight or capital requirements. Therefore, striking a balance between encouraging innovation and maintaining macro-financial stability is a problem for regulators, policymakers, and financial institutions. Open banking, sandboxes, and public-private partnerships are examples of collaborative frameworks that can provide a compromise, enabling FinTech to thrive while maintaining the fundamental protections of the financial system.

All things considered, FinTech is both a disruptor and a successor to the banking legacy. It reflects the innovative role banks once played during previous economic changes, but it also portends a future in which the concept of financial intermediation itself is changing. FinTech and traditional banking's continuous convergence—and possible collision—is a defining characteristic of the next chapter in financial history and goes beyond simple market competition.

References

1. Cheng, X., & Qu, Y. (2020). FinTech and financial stability: Threat or opportunity? *Finance Research Letters*, 47, 102667. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2021.102667> [last seen 05/04/2025]
2. Das, S. (2024, October 14). India cenbank chief warns against financial stability risks from growing use of AI. Reuters. <https://www.reuters.com/technology/artificial-intelligence/india-cenbank-chief-warns-against-financial-stability-risks-growing-use-ai-2024-10-14/> [last seen 05/04/2025]
3. De Roover, R. (1948). *Money, Banking and Credit in Mediaeval Bruges*. Harvard University Press.
4. Emarketer. (2024). Fintech market trends in China: Valuation and growth projections. Retrieved from [https://content-na1.emarketer.com/fintechs-secure-almost-half-new-checking-accounts](https://content.na1.emarketer.com/fintechs-secure-almost-half-new-checking-accounts) [last seen 05/04/2025]
5. Financial News. (2025, February 24). *Revolut wants to become the 'world's leading market maker' in challenge to Wall Street*. Retrieved from <https://www.fnlonon.com/articles/revolut-wants-to-become-the-worlds-leading-market-maker-in-challenge-to-wall-street-e35baff9> [last seen 05/04/2025]
6. Financial Times. (2025, February 2). *HSBC app was missing targets before bank ditched it*. Retrieved from <https://www.ft.com/content/d89030ac-4006-4f98-9b37-8ca976ea82cf> [last seen 05/04/2025]
7. Kohn, M. (1999). *Early history of financial institutions*. Dartmouth College Working Paper.
8. McKinsey & Company. (2023). *How FinTech is reshaping the banking industry*. Retrieved from <https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/financial-services/our-insights/fintechs-a-new-paradigm-of-growth> [last seen 05/04/2025]
9. Neal, L. (2000). *The Rise of Financial Capitalism: International Capital Markets*

- in the Age of Reason. Cambridge University Press.
10. OECD. (2023). Competition in the digital financial services sector. Retrieved from <https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/competition-fintechs-and-open-banking> [last seen 05/04/2025]
11. Senyo, P. K., & Osabutey, E. L. C. (2020). Unearthing antecedents to financial inclusion through FinTech innovations. *Technovation*, 98, 102155. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2020.102155> [last seen 05/04/2025]
12. Stulz M. René, (2019) - FinTech, BigTech, and the Future of Banking. *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance*. Volume 31, number 4, pp 86;
13. The Times. (2024, November 10). *Fintechs must navigate Irish bank savings maze*. Retrieved from <https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/fintechs-must-navigate-irish-bank-savings-maze-nmkn9gk5b> [last seen 05/04/2025]